-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P.NO. 2927 **/ 2006**

SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER : Dr. Pram Prakash Shrivastva S/o Shri R.S. Shrivastva Aged About 39 Years Permanent Resident of Akata Colony Rajeev Wada Behind of new Telephone station, Beena, Tah. & Distt.- Beena (M.P.)

Present Resident:- Vikas Nagar Kootara road Raigarh (C,G.)

P.R. No. 2816/06
Presented by Shri Vikas Shrivastva
dated 12.6.06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS: 1. UNION OF INDIA
Through:Principal Secretary
Government of India, Deptt,of public Grievances New Delhi,

2. State of Chhattisgarh
Through: General Administrative Department D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)

3. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through:-General Administrative Department Vallabha Bhawan Bhopal (M.P.)

4. The director
Veterinary Department
Raipur(C.G.)

RIC Ghanshyam Clerk Asstt. SC 12/6/06

CLERK 12/6/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ...W.P.2927/... **सन्** 2006

41

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

22-6-2006

LATER ON

Mr. Vineet Pandey, counsel for the petitioner, appeared and submitted that the matter pertains to allocation, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.1 through respondents No.2 & 3 to which Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondents No.2 & 4 has no objection.

Heard Mr. Vineet Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. N.K. Agrawal, learned Deputy Advocate General for the State/respondents No.2 & 4.

The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner was selected in the year 2000 for the post of Veterinary Assistant Surgeon and was posted at Raigarh Veterinary Development Office as Development Officer. Copy of the appointment order is Annexure P-1. Thereafter, on account of reorganisation of the State, the State of Chhattisgarh came into existence and for allocation of employees applications were invited in which the petitioner also moved an application for allotment to the State of Madhya Pradesh, that too with mutual consent of an employee who wants to come to Chhattisgarh, copy of the same is Annexure P-2. The petitioner also made a representation on 14-1-2001. Final allocation of Animal Husbandry Department was published on 7-9-2002 and the name of the petitioner does not find place in the said final allocation. Therefore, again the petitioner made a representation to respondent No.2 on 20-10-2005, even then the petitioner's representation has not been considered.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 through respondent No.4 within a period of 15 days from today and respondent No.4 shall forward the same to respondent No.2 with his comments within 30 days from the date of its receipt, in turn, ~~respondent No.2 shall forward the said representation with his comments~~ within

XI-HC-78

42

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ....W.P. 2927/ **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Soma	another 30 days from the date of its receipt to respondent No.3. Thereafter, respondent No.3 shall forward the same within two months from the date of its receipt to respondent No.1 with comments and respondent No.1 shall finally decide the representation within another three months from the date of its receipt. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	